

# Comparing WindCube lidars to met masts for PPT A real-world, concurrent validation study



Installation of Wind Iris 4-beam (earlier version of WindCube Nacelle)



WindCube vertical profiler in action.



#### The challenge: Validate lidar performance relative to met masts for contractual power curve testing

A lack of real-world testing on wind turbines has led some turbine manufacturers and project owners to resist using lidar technology for warranty Power Performance Testing (PPT). Although traditional met masts are showing their limitations (which include cost, installation complexity, and permitting challenges), they are still the standard in many projects.

Modern lidar remote sensors, on the other hand, promise exceptional speed, accuracy, and flexibility — but industry stakeholders crave data on their performance relative to met masts.

To tackle this challenge, EDF Renewables — one of the world's largest project developers, owners, and operators — partnered with technical advisory company UL, Leosphere, and a turbine OEM. The group set up a robust study for directly comparing two types of lidars to a met mast installed at the same site, at the same time, and for the same purpose.

#### The solution: Conduct a side-by-side test of met masts and two lidar types

The project combined an IEC met mast, a WindCube® vertical profiling lidar, and a WindCube Nacelle lidar.

#### Project setup

- · Site: Midwest U.S., simple terrain
- · Campaign length: Approx. two months
- · 2,230 data points collected

During setup, EDF Renewables observed that any anticipated challenges of powering the lidar and getting it installed on a turbine were insignificant with some pre-planning.

## Why lidar is right for PPT

WindCube and WindCube Nacelle are excellent solutions for contractual and operational PPT. Why?

- They reduce operational costs, increase efficiency, and have repeatedly shown an extremely high correlation with IEC met mast measurements.
- Lidar accommodates even the largest wind turbines, is simple and fast to deploy, and is unintrustive to landscapes and wind farm operations.
- WindCube Insights Analytics software (WindCube Nacelle only) provides outstanding PPT, data analysis, and reporting from within a visual, easy-to-use interface. It calls out the relevant IEC guidelines while in use and dramatically simplifies compliance.

"This ambitious project has shown us the ability of the nacelle-mounted Wind Iris 4-beam (earlier version of WindCube Nacelle) to measure wind conditions in non-complex terrain that resulted in a very accurate and cost-efficient power curve test compared to a traditional met mast."

## Pierre-Yves De Blois

Manager, Measurements and Testing at EDF Renewables



# The results: Decisive validation of lidar accuracy in the field; new, cost-effective options for contractual testing

By running a side-by-side, real-world scenario, EDF Renewables was able to prove to all stakeholders that lidar could take over the met mast's role in PPT without compromises. The results showed accuracy and performance of both ground-based and nacelle-mounted lidars were very similar to the met masts.

EDF Renewables was quickly satisfied that it had enough data to prove the technology worked as promised. It is now better able to help OEMs accelerate testing of their turbines using nacellemounted lidar, leading to efficiency gains and simpler financial arrangements among stakeholders.

UL and its partners came to the following conclusions after the study:

- Nacelle-mounted and vertical profiling lidar units provide highly accurate power curve measurements relative to met masts.
- There was very good agreement among the three technologies deployed in the study.
- There are many compelling technical and commercial cases for using lidar for power curve testing.

Given that lidar can provide power curve testing for as little as half the cost of using a met mast, and in less time, this study has given OEMs, developers, and operators the real-world data they need to accelerate the deployment of lidars — and reap its increasingly obvious financial and operational benefits.

#### A summary:

**Primary parameters:** Wind speed and direction **Secondary parameters:** Shear and turbulence intensity

Table 1: Average wind speed (density corrected)	Met mast (3.8 RD)	WindCube vertical profiling lidar (3.8 RD)	WindCube Nacelle (1.5 RD)	WindCube Nacelle (2.5 RD)	Nacelle cup
Avg. speed (m/s)	9.42	9.49	9.40	9.43	9.82
Diff. from met tower	_	0.07	-0.02	0.01	0.39
Diff. from met tower (%)	_	0.70	-0.20	0.10	4.20

Table 2: Wind shear		Mean shear	Day shear	Night shear	Monitoring heights
Below hub height	Met mast	0.327	0.183	0.461	80/53m
	WindCube vertical profiling lidar	0.324	0.175	0.460	80/53m
Above hub height	WindCube vertical profiling lidar	0.300	0.170	0.423	110/53m
	WindCube Nacelle (2.5 RD)	0.287	0.160	0.410	~106/59m

Table 3: AEP	Measured AEP (MWh)	AEP difference (MWh)	AEP difference (%)
Met mast	10,557	0	0%
WindCube vertical profiling lidar	10,402	-155	-1.5%
WindCube Nacelle (1.5 RD)	10,688	131	1.2%
WindCube Nacelle (2.5 RD)	10,572	15	0.1%
Nacelle cup	10,134	-423	-4%







Scan the code for more information

Ref. DID66389EN-A @Vaisala 2021
This material is subject to copyright protection, with all copyrights retained by Vaisala and its individual partners. All rights reserved. Any logos and/or product names are trademarks of Vaisala or its individual partners. The reproduction, transfer, distribution or storage of information contained in this brochure in any form without the prior written consent of Vaisala is strictly prohibited. All specifications — technical included — are subject to change